



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/066,671                    | 02/06/2002               | Koichiro Hirao       | Q68367              | 4642            |
| 75                            | 90 02/24/2006            |                      | EXAM                | INER            |
| SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,          |                          |                      | LAROSE, COLIN M     |                 |
| MACPEAK & S<br>2100 Pennsylva | SEAS<br>nia Avenue, N.W. |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Washington, DC 20037-3202     |                          |                      | 2627                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 10/066,671  | HIRAO, KOICHIRO   |  |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Colin M. LaRose   | 2627  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o<br/>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo<br/>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br/>(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp<br/>following time periods:</li> </ol>  | n the same day as filing a Notice o<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl                            | of Appeal. To avoid ab<br>ffidavit, or other evide<br>compliance with 37 (                                | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or                                       |  |  |  |
| a) Market The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of  | •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv<br/>event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the</li> </ul>  |   |   | ar is later. In no   |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI  | •   | OWT NIHTIW D   |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any experience. | nd the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the<br>s after the mailing date of the final rejection<br>pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, make filed within two mon | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any this of the date |  |  |  |
| Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or  | nsideration and/or search (see NOw);  | TE below);  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally re  | jected claims.  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |   | ,   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |   | ill be entered and an   | explanation of   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  | •   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but  | it before or on the date of filing a N  | lation of Appeal will m   | at be entered  |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(  | ils to provide a<br>1).  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | entry is below or attac   | hed.   |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br>See Continuation Sheet.   | t does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowe  | nce because:   |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s).  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. Applicant argues that Kim does not utilize pixels, but it is very apparent that Kim does. Kim's YUV signals are "digital" color signals (column 3, lines 55-60), and Y[i][j] refers to an input "sample" (column 5, lines 65-67), which is equivalent to the Y component of a YUV picture element at the location [i,j] in the digital image.